

## **REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Claims 1-6, 10-13 and 23-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Cho et al. Cho is directed to a system having a portable computer and a docking station. An interface is coupled between the portable computer and the docking station that is responsive to unpreconditioned insertion and removal of the portable computer into or from the docking station. When the portable computer is being inserted into or removed from the docking station, the interface generates events to allow software to configure the portable computer and the docking station without prior user intervention. Applicant claims a completely different method and apparatus. As to claims 1 and 23, the claims are directed to a method and apparatus for detecting a monitor. The office action cites FIG. 1 of Cho. However, no such method is disclosed. To the contrary, Cho describes a method for detecting a portable computer being inserted with a docking station. There is no method of detecting a monitor described in Cho. In addition, the method requires monitoring one pin of a connector coupled to a flat panel display. The office action cites FIGs. 1 and 2 along with column 4, line 31 to column 5, line 66; column 5, line 6 to column 16, line 65. However, FIG. 1 and FIG. 2 and the cited portions do not show a connector coupled to a flat panel display. To the contrary, the flat panel 60 is shown being connected to the graphics processor 40 as also described in column 3, lines 3-7. There is no connector coupled to a flat panel display in Cho. Accordingly, the claims are in condition for allowance.

Although the claim is allowable for one or more of these reasons, Applicant also respectfully notes that the claim requires among other things, asserting an output signal to indicate the one pin connected to the flat panel display is in a first state and receiving the output

signal at a display engine. The office action cites column 3, lines 3-31; column 4, line 31 to column 5, line 66; column 15, line 6 to column 16, line 65. However, the cited portions merely describe the docking station 102 having a plurality of connector pins that connect with the personal computer, none of which deal with the flat panel display because the flat panel display is not connected to the docking station. Cho is directed to a portable computer that is inserted into a docking station. Moreover, the office action alleges that the graphic controller 40 receives an output signal from the one pin of a connector that is coupled to the flat panel display. However, upon review of the reference, it does not appear that any such output signal is received by the graphics controller 40 and the cited portion appears silent as to the subject matter. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the claim is in condition for allowance.

The dependent claims add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter.

As to claim 25, Applicant respectfully reasserts the relevant remarks made above and also notes that the office action alleges that Cho teaches determining when an external flat panel display becomes available by monitoring at least one pin of a connector coupled to a flat panel display citing columns 3, 4 and 5. However, these cited portions do not appear to refer to determining when an external flat panel display becomes available by monitoring a pin of a connector that is coupled to a flat panel display as claimed. To the contrary, the cited portions appear to merely describe the various docking station pins in the docking station, none of which appear to be directed to the claimed subject matter. Accordingly, this claim is also in condition for allowance.

The dependent claims add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter.

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the

below-listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 22, 2006

By:           /Christopher J. Reckamp/            
Christopher J. Reckamp  
Registration No. 34,414

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.  
222 N. LaSalle Street  
Chicago, IL 60601  
(312) 609-7500  
FAX: (312) 609-5005